

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

The S-matrix asymptotic behaviour in the case of singular potentials at high complex energies

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1985 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 18 265 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/18/2/016)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 17:07

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## The S-matrix asymptotic behaviour in the case of singular potentials at high complex energies

G A Ivanov, V N Pivovarchik and A M Popova

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 117234, USSR

Received 3 January 1984, in final form 12 June 1984

Abstract. The asymptotic behaviour of the S-matrix in the case of repulsive singular potential at the origin for large |k| is presented in a more precise form. It is shown that the WKB method in the 'Langer' form yields explicit values of the first- and second-order terms of the S-matrix expansion.

Let us consider the S-wave Schrödinger equation

$$\varphi'' + [k^2 - V(r)]\varphi = 0 \tag{1}$$

for a repulsive singular potential of the kind

$$V(r) = gr^{-m} + hr^{-\beta} + u(r)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where

$$\lim_{r \to 0} V(r)r^m = g > 0 \tag{2}$$

and

$$U(r)r^2 \to 0;$$
  $2 < \frac{1}{2}(m+2) < \beta < m$ 

There are a great number of works devoted to the definition of the S-matrix high-energy limit. Though it is generally recognised that the corresponding S-matrix has the form

$$S(k) = \exp\{-2i[a_1g^{1/m}k^{1-(2/m)} + \frac{1}{4}\pi + o(1)]\}$$
(3)

the values of  $a_1$ , obtained by various methods (the variable phase method (Cologero 1964, 1967), WKB method (Limic 1962, Bertocchi *et al* 1965, Paliov and Rosendorff 1967) and the method integral equations (Jaffur 1967)) differ as was pointed out in the review by Frank *et al* (1971).

After the publication of this review much attention was paid in a number of articles to the potential

$$V(r) = gr^{-m} + l(l+1)/r^2.$$

The special case m = 4 is exactly solvable and physically meaningful, it is used when the interaction of a charged particle with polarised matter is treated. We would like to draw attention to some papers on this problem: the solution of the scattering problem for singular potential (Rafe-Beketov and Kristov 1971); numerical results of phase shifts (Dolinsky 1974); the asymptotic behaviour of the *S*-matrix and the bound state

0305-4470/85/020265+06 (\$02.25 ) (\$0.1985 The Institute of Physics )

energies for attractive singular potential (Adamen and Puzek 1979); the rigorous proof of the  $a_1$  value (Fröman and Thylive 1979), which coincides exactly with that of Bertocchi *et al* (1965) and Paliov and Rosendorff (1967).

Interest in the S-matrix behaviour as  $|k| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\theta = \arg k = \text{constant} \neq 0$  for the potentials which have the analytic S-matrix was stimulated by the problem of the validity of the dispersion relation. We recall now that the results of Limic (1962):

$$S(k) \underset{|k| \to \infty}{\sim} \exp(-2ia_1 g^{1/m} k |k|^{-2/m}) = S_0(k)$$
(4)

and Jaffur (1967), Aly et al (1967)

$$S(k) \underset{|k| \to \infty}{\sim} \exp(-2ia_1 g^{1/m} k^{1-(2/m)})$$
 (5)

are not in agreement. Details are given in the review by Frank *et al* (1971). Notice that the asymptotics given by Bertocchi *et al* (1965) are wrong because the condition

$$S_0^*(-k^*)S_0^{-1}(k) \xrightarrow[|k| \to \infty]{} 1 \tag{6}$$

is violated, which follows from the unitarity of the S-matrix:

$$S^*(-k^*) = S(k).$$

To clarify this discrepancy we consider only the problem of the S-matrix behaviour at large k. Using the WKB method it can be shown that (4) is true if  $a_1$  is not a constant but a function of  $\theta$ .

Consider a finite potential although the result is the same for any potential which decreases faster than the exponent

$$V(r) = \frac{gr^{-m}}{0} \qquad \begin{array}{c} r \leq 1 \\ r > 1 \end{array} \qquad g > 0.$$
 (7)

Then the Jost solution f(k, r) exists. It is a complete function provided that the variable r is fixed. Define the regular solution with the condition

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \sqrt{2} \varphi(k, r) g^{1/4} r^{-m/4} \exp\left(\frac{2g^{1/2}}{2-m} \left(1 - r^{1-(m/2)}\right)\right) = 1.$$
(8)

Its existence is proved in Limic (1962). Following the Poincaré theorem one can find that both the Jost solution and the Jost function, determined in terms of the Wronskion

$$f(k) = W\{f(k, r), \varphi(k, r)\},\$$

constitute the complete function. In the case of a finite potential the equation

$$\varphi(k, r) = (1/2ik)\{f(-k)f(k, r) - f(k)f(-k, r)\}$$
(9)

is valid in the complex k-plane besides the origin k = 0. Define the asymptotic behaviour of the regular and Jost solutions as  $|k| \rightarrow \infty$  and  $0 < \theta < \pi$ . With that end in view make use of the WKB approximation for the regular solution

$$\varphi(k,r) = (1/\sqrt{2})[V(r) - k^2]^{-1/4} \exp\left\{ [\mu(k,r) - \int_r^1 (V(t))^{1/2} dt] (1 + o(1)) \right\},$$
 (10)

where

$$\mu(k, r) = \int_0^{r_0} \left[ (V(t) - k^2)^{1/2} - (V(t))^{1/2} \right] dt$$

and  $V(r_0) = k^2$ , here we mean the positive imaginary branch of the square root, i.e.  $k = i\rho$ ,  $\rho > 0$ . This formula is true for pure imaginary k as well as for complex values (Im k > 0). We now are concerned with the first two order terms of the expansion

$$\mu(k,r) - \int_{r}^{r} (V/t)^{1/2} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{r_{0}} [(V(t) - k^{2})^{1/2} - (V(t))^{1/2}] dt + \int_{r_{0}}^{r} [(V(t) - k^{2})^{1/2} + ik] dt$$

$$-ik(r - r_{0}) - \int_{r_{0}}^{1} (V(t))^{1/2} dt.$$
(11)

The first integral can be written in the form:

A ...

$$\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \left[ (V(t) - k^{2})^{1/2} - (V(t))^{1/2} \right] dt \sum_{\substack{|k| \to \infty \\ 0 < \theta < \pi}} g^{1/m} |k|^{1 - (2/m)} \mathscr{C}(\theta),$$

where

$$\mathscr{C}(\theta) = (1/m) \int_{1}^{\infty} \left[ (\xi - e^{2i\theta})^{1/2} - \sqrt{\xi} \right]^{-1} \xi^{-[1+(1/m)]} d\xi.$$

The second integral is:

.

$$\int_{r_0}^{r} \left[ (V(t) - k^2)^{1/2} + ik \right] dt \underset{\substack{|k| \to \infty \\ 0 < \theta < \pi}}{\sim} -ig^{1/m} a(\theta) k |k|^{-2/m},$$

where

$$a(\theta) = 1 + (1/m) \int_0^1 \left[ 1 - (1 - e^{-2i\theta}\xi)^{1/2} \right] \xi^{1 - (1/m)} \, \mathrm{d}\xi,$$

and the third integral is:

$$\int_{r_0}^1 (V(t))^{1/2} \mathrm{d}t \sum_{\substack{|k| \to \infty \\ 0 < \theta < \pi}}^{2g^{1/m}} \frac{2g^{1/m}}{(2-m)} |k|^{1-(2/m)}.$$

Substituting these formulae into (11) and (11) into (10), we obtain

$$\varphi(k,r) \underset{\substack{|k| \to \infty \\ 0 < \theta < \pi}}{\sim} \exp\{-ikr + ia(\theta)k|k|^{-2/m}g^{1/m} - g^{1/m}[\mathscr{C}(\theta) + 2/(m-2)]|k|^{1-(2/m)}\}.$$
(12)

Using (12) and (9) we have

$$f(k) \underset{\substack{|k| \to \infty \\ 0 < \theta < \pi}}{\sim} \exp(g^{1/m} C(\theta) |k|^{1-(2/m)}),$$
  
$$S(k) \underset{\substack{|k| \to \infty \\ 0 < \theta < \pi}}{\sim} \exp\{g^{1/m} |k|^{1-(2/m)} [C(-\theta) - C(\theta)]\},$$

where

$$C(\theta) = \exp[i(\frac{1}{2}\pi + \theta)]a(\theta) + \mathscr{C}(\theta) + 2/(m-2).$$

This result coincides with that of Rafe-Beketov and Khristov (1971) if  $\theta = 0$ . Consider now the asymptotic behaviour of the S-matrix in the case of the potentials sum given by (1), where U(r) involves the centrifugal barrier term as well.

It follows from (1) and the conditions of theorem (2.6.1) of Rafe-Beketov and Khristov (1971) that

$$\delta_{l}(k) \sim_{k \to \infty} -k + \int_{r_{0}(k)}^{1} (k^{2} - gt^{-m} - ht^{-\beta})^{1/2} dt + (l + \frac{1}{2})\frac{1}{2}\pi + o(1).$$
(12)

Here  $r_0(k)$  is the turning point, i.e. the point where the integrand becomes zero. Obviously at large k just one turning point exists. One can easily obtain the expansion

$$r_0 \underset{k \to \infty}{\sim} g^{1/m} k^{-2/m} - (h/m) g^{(1-\beta)/m} k^{2(\alpha-m-1)/m} + o(k^{2(\beta-m-1)/m}).$$
(13)

Transforming the integral term in (12) by expanding the integrand in powers:

$$\int_{r_0(k)}^{1} (k^2 - gt^{-m} - ht^{-\beta})^{1/2} dt$$
  
=  $k - r_0(k) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n {\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{n}} k^{-2n+1} \sum_{s=0}^{h} {\binom{n}{s}} g^{n-s} h^s$   
 $\times \frac{r_0^{-mn+(m-\beta)s+1} - 1}{-mn+(m-\beta)s+1}.$ 

Substituting this into (12) and taking into account (13) we get:

$$\delta_l(k) \sim_{k \to \infty} a_1 g^{1/m} k^{1-2/m} + a_2 g^{(1-\alpha)/m} h k^{(2\beta - m - 2)/m} + o(k^{(2\beta - m - 2)/m})$$
(14)

where

$$a_{1} = a(0) = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} {\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{n}} \frac{1}{mn-1}$$
$$a_{2} = \frac{1}{m} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n} {\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{n}} {\binom{\frac{1}{2}}{m} - \frac{n}{mn-(m-\alpha)-1}}.$$

It can be seen from (14) that if  $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}(m+2)$  the second order term of the expansion equals  $(l+\frac{1}{2})\pi/2$ .

## Appendix

In the Appendix we prove formula (10) for  $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta \equiv \arg k < \pi$ . Let us start from the equation

$$y'' - [-k^2 + gr^{-m} + Q(r, k)]y = [u(r) - Q(r, k)]y$$
(A1)

where

$$Q(r, k) = \frac{5}{16} \frac{g^2 m^2 r^{-2m-2}}{(gr^{-m} - k^2)^2} - \frac{gm(m-1)r^{-m-2}}{4(gr^{-m} - k^2)}$$
$$U(r) = \begin{array}{c} 0 & r < 1\\ -gr^{-m} & r \ge 1. \end{array}$$

This equation coincides with equation (2), provided the potential is taken in the form (7). The functions

$$\chi_{1,2}(k,r) = (1/\sqrt{2})(gr^{-m} - k^2)^{-1/4} \exp\left(\pm \int_x^1 (gt^{-m} - k^2)^{1/2} dt\right)$$

are independent solutions of the equation (A1) with the RHS equal to zero ( $k = i\rho, \rho > 0$ ). It is easy to see that

$$W(\chi_1, \chi_2) = 1, \qquad \lim_{r \to 0} e^{\mu(k,1)} \chi_2(k, r) \chi_2^{-1}(0, r) = 1$$

where

$$\mu(k, r) = \int_0^r \left( (gt^{-m} - k^2)^{1/2} - (gt^{-m})^{1/2} \right) dt.$$

Hence the function

$$\phi(k, r) = \varphi(k, r) e^{-\mu(k, 1)} / \chi_2(k, r)$$

is a solution of the integral equation

$$\phi(k, r) = 1 + \int_0^r R(k, r, t)\phi(k, t) dt$$
 (A2)

where

$$R(k, r, t) = \{\chi_2(k, t)\chi_1(k, t) - [\chi_1(k, r)/\chi_2(k, r)]\chi_2^2(k, t)\}[u(t) - Q(k, t)].$$

The quantity  $gt^{-m} - k^2$  takes the complex values at  $0 < \theta < \pi$  and  $0 < t < \infty$  except the negative ones and zero. So we may choose the branch of  $(gt^{-m} - k^2)^{1/2}$  with positive real part, i.e. at  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}\pi$ . Consequently

$$\left|\frac{\chi_1(k,x)}{\chi_2(k,x)}\chi_2^2(k,t)\right| = \exp\left(-2\int_t^x (g\xi^{-m}-k^2)^{1/2}\,\mathrm{d}\xi\right) < 1$$

when 0 < t < x and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| R(k, r, t) \right| &< \frac{5}{16} \frac{g^2 m^2 t^{-2m-2}}{|gt^{-m} - k^2|^{5/2}} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{gm(m+1)t^{-m-2}}{|gt^{-m} - k^2|} \\ &+ \frac{|U(t)|}{|gt^{-m} - k^2|} \equiv F(k, t). \end{aligned}$$
(A3)

Obviously  $F(k, t) \in L(0, a)$  for a > 0 and

$$\lim_{\substack{|k| \to \infty \\ 0 < \theta < \pi}} \int_0^a F(x, t) \, \mathrm{d}t = 0.$$
(A4)

Now we use the following lemma (Titchmarsh 1946).

The equation

$$f(x) = p(x) + \int_0^x k(x, t)f(t) dt \qquad 0 \le x \le a$$

for

$$|p(x)| < C,$$
  $|k(x, t)| < k(t) \in L(0, a)$   $(0 \le t \le x \le a)$ 

possesses a single solution and

$$|f(x)| \leq C \exp\left[\int_0^x k(t) dt\right].$$

From (A2) and (A3) we get

$$\phi(k, x) \xrightarrow[]{|k| \to \infty}_{0 < \theta < \infty} 1.$$

This convergence is uniform with respect to  $x \in [0, a]$ . Hence

$$\varphi(x, k) = \chi_1(k, x) e^{\mu(k, 1)} [1 + o(1)].$$

## References

Adamen V M and Puzek M 1979 Dokl. Akad. Nauk 249 1 Aly H H and Wit R 1967 Z. Phys. 201 129 Bertocchi L, Fubini S and Furlan G 1965 Nuovo Cimento 35 633 Calogero F 1964 Phys. Rev. 135 B693 —— 1967 Variable Phase Approach to Potential Scattering (New York: Academic) Dolinsky T 1974 Nuovo Cimento A22 578 Frank W M, Land D J and Spector R M 1971 Rev. Mod. Phys. 43 36 Fröman N and Thylive K E 1979 J. Math. Phys. 20 1716 Jaffur R J 1967 Phys. Rev. B 138 1525 Langer R E 1937 Phys. Rev. 51 669 Limic N 1962 Nuovo Cimento 26 581 Paliov A and Rosendorff A 1967 J. Math. Phys. 8 1829 Rafe-Beketov F S, Khristov E Kh 1971 Sov. J. Math. Phys. Funct. Anal. 2 122 Titchmarsh E C 1946 Eigenfunction Expansion (New Jersey: Fair Lawn) p 1

270